

App F IX 4
Previous References

19 52-53
(C M)

File No 2-52
Serial Nos 3, 4

Later References
Chs sep 3474-52-53
C M 78-52-12
CM 1-53-82, 83

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 2

P 24 (E)

Part B for
SUBJECT

July 52

Appointment of a Senior Asst Commr.
for hearing Assessment Appeals

L 4895-6/C M 2-52-4 of 17-7-52

The Commr. Corpn B'hone
(thru the Mayor)

u Controller, SAD B'hone
u Auditor Corpn B'hone

WD 015467-GPB-25 000-12 9 51

Sanction

C 30/52

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

()

NOTES

File No

FILE HEADING

Appointment of a Senior Assistant Comptroller for
hearing assessment appeals

FROM

The Comptroller Corporation of the City of Bangalore

No B 12 P R 143/51, 2 Dated 22-2-52 Received

Diary No 7620¹_{mtb}

Enclos

Spare copies

Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends the appointment of an officer as the
Chief of Senior Asst Comptroller, to hear objections against
the revision of assessment for 4 months from the
date of his joining duty.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance)
is stated to have approved of the appointment
at its meeting held on 17.1.52 and that the
matter is awaiting the approval of the Corporation.
As the appointment has to be urgently made
and the Corporation is not meeting to transact
business, the Comptroller exercising powers under
Sec 11 Sec 12 sanction the appointment.

2) The Applications from agencies not satisfied.
with the assessment has to be made to the Comptroller.

Appointment of a Senior Asst Commr for hearing
revenue appeals

File No


7620/nd of 1951

General and Revenue
Secretariat

consider part IV, Schedule II of the Corpⁿ
Act. But under Sec 16 of the Act the
Commissioner may delegate his ^{deleg} powers, among others,
to the holder of any municipal office.
It therefore requires to be considered
whether the delegation of the duties and
functions contemplated in Sec 16 is only
to an officer included in the Schedule
of establishments of the Corporation, or
whether an officer may be newly created
for a specific purpose and period for
the purpose of delegating his duties
of such ^{deleg} functions. Certain duties
which the Act requires the Commissioner
to perform.

3) The proposal is for orders

Secy
5/3/52 4) Secy
28/3/52 5/3



6) If a Senior Asst Commr is posted
as Spl Revenue Officer, to the
Corporation, he will be holding a
municipal office and there can
be no objection to the Commr dele-
gating his powers under Schedule
II (except Rule 20) to such officer.
It is not obligatory that all the
officers should be shown in
the Schedule of ests. The order

A

Appointment of a Senior Post Commr for

hearing officers appeal 51211 [App F III 2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

of 19

WD 015452-GPB-PZS-40 000-1 10-51

7620

Serial
No

Sanctioning the post operates as
an amendment of the Schedule.

1) For favour & remarks

2) Lawley

9) JCB
10/3

10) The view as at A in para 6
appears to be rightly correct.

Lawley

11/4

11) I agree with the Secy's opinion in his

Secy's

10/4

12)

Due appointment of an officer
in Grades 500-25-600 plus duty
allow of Rs 50/- plus conveyance allow
Rs 75/- p m, may be sanctioned, for
a period of 4 months, to attend to
the work relating to revision of
assessment. The Chief Secy may
in consultation with the Commr of the Corporation,
post a suitable officer in the
 cadre of Senior Post Commr, or
an Post Commr partly Senior in
service of a Senior Post Commr
is not cannot be spared.

Law LSA & PA

Chief Secy


Chief Secy

2.11 & Secy

13)

27452


11/4




10/4



14 APR 1952



15 APR 1952



25/11/52

851

Note Contn Sheet

Opponent next ga Seminar 1955 Commr
for hearing assessment appeals
File No 7620/no U498/ml

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No

(14) I understand that the Corporation
Commr has since sent other proposals on
the subject and that the proposals dealt
with in this file may be treated as
withdrawn. In that case, no further
action will be necessary on these papers

Secy. (Sb)

21/4/52

Suma
22/4/52

23/4

23/4

23/4

(15) Further circulation may be
stopped in view of Commr's
recent proposals, which may be
worked up

23/4

23/4

23/4


10/5/52

The Commr, Corporation of City of Bho
No B 12 P R 143/51, dated 17.4.52

Forwards Revised proposals on this

behalf

(16) On modification of the previous
proposal to appoint a Senior Asst
Commr for hearing assessment
appeals, the Corporation has approved



Appointment of a Senior Asst Commr for
hearing Appeals
7620
me 0498

17) at its meeting held on 16.12.52, the
approach of the Commr's order to delegate
under the 16th Corporation Act the
power of hearing appeals to the four
municipally elected Corporation

- Sh. N. Siddalingappa, H.B.
H.B., P.A. to the Commr
2. " C. Anandappa, B.A. H.B.
Reserve Officer
3. " M. Guruswami B.A. (H.B.)
H.B., Commr's Secretary
4. " S. Balasubramanyam,
H.A., - Asst. Res. Officer

officers at a cost of Rs 1000/-
and to continue the 8th
Rev. Officer, 4 clerks, 2 file
collectors and 8 peons. Based
on 60 dated 18.6.51, for
a further period of two months
from 1.12.52 in this con-
nection. This revised
proposal involves a saving
of Rs 1,764/-.

18) It is reported that about 6000 appeal
applications have been received up to the date
of the Commr's order and another two to three
thousand may be expected to be received.
Since according to the present modified proposal
the applications would be distributed among
four officers instead of one, the work would
also be completed ^{quickly and} the revision may
be given effect to from the current year.

19) The officers are proposed to be given a
completeness remuneration of Rs 250/- each for
this additional work and allotted $\frac{1}{4}$ of
the total number of appeal petitions
received.

20) The Commr further reports that as
the matter was urgent he has delegated
appellate powers to these four officers in
anticipation of approval and that
required now is formal sanction. The

7620 J498/52-53

Hearing assessment appeals - Delegation of appellate powers

present proposal of the Corporation may be approved

21) Since four different officers consider the appeals it is necessary that there should be some safeguards of procedure based on sound principles to be formulated before the officers enter upon the duties as otherwise there will not be cohesion ^{next} to the appellate ^{work}.

22) Subd for orders

Dec 1/29/4/5 ✓
23) Pl, note the authority that has to decide appeals from the orders of the officers


1/5

24) An appeal from the decision of these officers who act for the Council lies to the Taxation appeals Committee consisting of three corporate ^{or} individuals to the Corporation, ^{and} a further appeal to the District Court before the Division - vide Rule 22 as part of Schedule III of the Corporation Act

Dec 1/5/52 ✓
25) The Commissioner may delegate the power of hearing objections ^(vide under) Section 16) to the Act the action in delegating this work need not be approved by Council but ~~however~~ the Council requests that

1298
re appointment of appeal officer for hearing appeals -

his proposal to appoint a Senior Assistant Commissioner may be treated as withdrawn therefore the matter may perhaps be treated as closed



Seey)
L.S.G.)

31/5/52

26) Does not the proposal to pay a lump-sum remuneration of Rs 250/- to each of the four officers require sanction of Govt?

Seey
S/S

27) There are two distinct issues involved in the proposals of the Commissioner viz (1) Creation of the posts of 8 Special Rev officers for a further period of two months from 1-5-52 and (2) Delegating the powers of hearing the Reversion appeals to the four officers on a lump sum remuneration of Rs 250/- each

28) As regards point one, the creation of these posts requires sanction of Govt under the proviso to Sec 90 of the Corp? Act as their maximum salary exceeds

492/m

Hearing ~~amendment~~ appeals - Delegation of
appellate power

Rs 100 p m The continuance of a ~~post~~
temporary post the term of which expires
amounts to the creation of the post for
a further period Hence this recommendation
of the Commr to continue the posts of
8 Spl Rev Officers for a further period
of 2 months comes within the purview
of the proviso to Sec 90 of the Corp. Act
and Requires Sanction of Govt

29) Regarding point two, all these
four officers selected for this work are
holding appointments the monthly
Salary of which exceeds Rs 250 such
should be made under the proviso
Sanction of Govt only as per the amended
provision of Sec 89 (2) of the Corporation Act
Any addition to or alteration in the
Salary of any such officer likewise
Requires Sanction of Govt Hence the
Recommendation for the payment of
a temporary remuneration of Rs 250 cash
for this work as addition to their
Salary has to be approved by Govt

30) The proposal of the Commr
therefore Requires Sanction of Govt -
Ref para 26 above

442
8/5/52

8/5/52 31) Continuance of the 8 Special
Revenue Officers for a further period of
two months has been sought for by the
Commr These eight officers may be ordered

498
 appointment of a Senior West Commr for hearing
 summary appeals

to be continued for 2 months as



recommended

32) The payment of Rs 250/- to each of the
 four officers and also their appointment
 as officers to hear appeals may also
 be approved



Secy
 defd)

Run L S & P H
 1st Secy
 2nd Secy



1575

33) 28

1575

34) 28
 2015152

1615

28/5/52
 2311

35) AMd
 28/6/52

28/6/52
 3011


36) Off G O is not up for approval
 28/7/52
 28/7-
 Issue
 717

To The Commissioner
 Corporation of the City of Bombay
 (Through the Mayor)

The Controller S A D
 The Auditor B L one copy
 24895-L/C R 252-6/dated 17 7-52 sanctions

B

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE



No B12 PR 143/11-12
Ewe 2

CORPORATION OFFICES
BANGALORE


Dated 22nd February 1952

FROM

N S HIRANNAYYA Esq MA,
COMMISSIONER
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE
BANGALORE

TO

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self-Government Department,
Bangalore



SIR,

Subject - Appointment of a Senior Assistant
Commissioner for hearing Assessment
Appeals

I write to state that the Corporation have in their resolution dated 20th February 1951, accorded sanction to the revision of property tax assessment in the Corporation area and the appointment of the necessary staff which was approved by Government in their Order No L 2910/20/C M 2-51-2 dated 23th June 1951

The revision of assessment work was started early in November 1951 and strenuous efforts have been made to complete the revision before February 1952. The parties concerned have been given notice and their objections have to be heard by the Commissioner. It is expected that a very large number of appeals are likely to be preferred on account of existing economic conditions and the rack renting that is going on. It will not therefore be possible for the Commissioner to attend to the inspection of the houses and to the disposal of the appeal petitions without prejudicially affecting his other duties. There is urgent necessity for a full time officer. I therefore recommend that an officer in the grade of Rs 500-50-000 from the cadre of Senior Assistant Commissioners with a duty allowance of Rs 50/- and a conveyance allowance of Rs 75/- per mensem be sanctioned for a period of 4 months with effect from the date of joining. This was approved by the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) at their meeting held on 17th January 1952 and is now pending before the Corporation.


P T O

- 2 -

Circumstances have arisen which render it not likely that it will be in a position to transact business ^{in the near future,} The Revision is however nearing completion and notices are being issued in large numbers. Appeal petitions received must be heard and disposed of immediately. An Officer of the grade of a Senior Assistant Commissioner to be designated Additional Commissioner has therefore to be posted at once. I recommend under the powers vested in me under Section 11 of the Act, that an Officer in the cadre of a Senior Assistant Commissioner in grade Rs 500-50-600 plus Rs 50/- Duty Allowance, plus Rs 75/- Conveyance Allowance (preferably with Municipal experience,) be appointed for a period of 4 months from the date of joining and that he be posted immediately in the interest of work.

Forwarded with compliments


B. V. L A X M A N

Yours faithfully,

(N. S. HIRALLAPPA),
Commissioner,
Corporation of Bangalore

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FOR

Name of office to which the proposition relates

Government ord rs sanctioning p esent Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale						No	Propo		
		No	Designation	Pay			Average Cost		Des gnation	Min	
				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maxi- mum					
No	D te										
								1	Sewer Asset Commr		
									C A		
									DA		

to Revenue Dept

WD083E4-GPB -100 pads of 100 shts each -5 12 49

ement if the proposal involve additional expenditure

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of office to which the proposition rel

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge							
		Present Scale					Average Cost	No	Des gnation
		No	Designation	Pay					
No	Date			Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maxi- mum			
								1	Senior Asst Comm CA DA

Under Art. 71, of C.A.C. Vol. I Appendices and Forms)
 FORM FOR PROPOSITION FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

With the proposition relating to Revenue Officer

WD06384—GPB—100 pads of 100 sheets each—5 12 49

Proposed Service					Proposition				Orders of sanctioning Authority
Designation	Pay			Average Cost	Permanent		Temporary		
	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month	Decrease per month	
					Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	
Revenue Ass. Commr	500	50	600	566.67					
CA	75			75.00					
SA	60			50.00					
				691.67 per month					
				691.67 x 4 = 2,766.68 for four months					
27 27									

M. S. S. S. S.
 For Commissioner
 Bangalore Corporation
 27

C P 74]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc. not intended for permanent record]

498/nb

599


Sales

The file relating to the appointment of a Senior Assistant-Coroner as Special Officer for hearing appeals ^{in the Blore Corp.} referred to the Chief Secretary on 14/4/52 as to how 7620/nb may best be returned as records proposals have been received on this behalf from the Commissioner of the Blore Corporation

24/4/52

Asst Secy
Ch Secy

24/4



Sr TP


Sold

The file bearing No 7620 ^{nb} is returned to the Secretary to Govt Dept on 24/4/52

25/4 Secy

25/4/52

25/4 52



26/4

The file has been sent to the Jt Secy on 25/4/52 This may be sent to the Jt Secy



CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

CORPORATION OFFICES
BANGALORE

No B 12 P R 14/51
BNCs Thrice

Dated 17th April 1952

FROM


N S HIRANNAYYA ESQ MA

COMMISSIONER

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

BANGALORE

CN 2 52-3



The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self-government Department,
Bangalore

SIR

Subject - Appointment of Appeal Officers
from among the Corporation Officers on
a lumpsum allowance

Sw HAs

8085
H

7620
H

Ch 344
H

In continuation of this office letter No B 12-P R 14/51
dated the 22nd February 1952, proposing the appointment of a
Senior Assistant Commissioner, for hearing assessment appeals,
I write to state as follows -

So far nearly 6,000 appeal applications have been
received from the owners of the properties and two to three
thousand more applications are expected to be received. At the
rate of 50 cases a day, it may take about 6 to 8 months to dispose
of all the appeals by one officer. Until all the appeals are
disposed of bills cannot be sent and taxes cannot be collected in
respect of these 3 to 10 thousand houses and thereby the consequen-
ces will be accumulation of arrears. Therefore the matter was
reviewed with a view to dispose of all these appeals within as
short a time as possible and with as little cost as possible in
the interest of the Corporation revenues.

It was therefore proposed that four senior officers of the
Corporation be delegated with powers to hear appeals in connection
with revision of properties under Section 16 of the Corporation
Act. Each of them will be paid a lump sum remuneration of

Rs 250/- and will be allotted one-fourth of the total number of appeal petitions received. The total cost of their appointments will therefore be Rs 1,000/- as against Rs 2,704/- which would have been occurred if a Senior Assistant Commissioner were appointed. The following Officers were proposed to be so appointed and they will carry on the work in addition to their current duties -

1. Sri N. Siddalingappa, A. L. B.,
Personal Assistant to the Commissioner
2. Sri C. Annamanna, B. L. B.,
Revenue Officer
3. Sri A. Gurusiddiah, B. A., (Ins), LL. B.,
Secretary to Council
4. Sri S. Balasubramaniam, M. A., L. T.,
Assistant Revenue Officer

The matter was placed before the Corporation, and they at their meeting held on 16th April 1952 have resolved to appoint the above four officers of the Corporation to hear the appeals under the proposed delegation of powers and to pay them a lumpsum allowance of Rs 250/- each as they will have to attend to this item of work between 8 to 11 in the mornings in addition to their office work. They are expected to dispose of the appeals in about two months.

The Corporation have also resolved to continue the eight Special Revenue Officers and their staff, sanctioned in G. O. No. I 2319/C 2-51 dated 13th June 1951 to be continued for a further period of two months from 1st May 1952 as their term will expire on the 30th April 1952. Their services will be essential to assist the Appeal Officers during the above period. A copy of the resolution of the Corporation dated 16th April 1952 and the Proposition Statement in duplicate are herewith enclosed.

I therefore request you to kindly obtain sanction of Government to the resolution of the Corporation early. As the matter was extremely urgent, I have in anticipation of the sanction of Government delegated appellate powers under Section 16, and they have entered upon their duties. The previous

60 The four officers mentioned

recommendation made for the appointment of an Officer of the
grade of a Senior Assistant Commissioner may be treated as
withdrawn

Respectfully with compliments

B V Iyengar

(B V Iyengar),
MAYOR

V
17-4-52

Yours faithfully,

K. S. HIRANAYYA

(K S HIRANAYYA)
COMMISSIONER

[Signature]

ntionally

corrected proposition statement enclosed
improved

tion was passed unanimously -

Sd/- B V Lankappa

MAYOR

" C O P Y "

Commissioner
COMMISSIONER

Resolution passed at the Special Meeting of the Corporation of the City of Bangalore, held on the 1st April 1952

Urgent Attention Subject

1. Commissioner's note to the Corporation dated 1.10.1952, Regarding Proposals for appointing the following four Corporation Officers at a cost of Rs 1,000/- for hearing appeals in connection with the revision of Properties Tax under delegation of powers in suppression of the proposals for appointing a Senior Assistant Commissioner for the purpose as against a cost of Rs 2,754/- for a period of 4 months and to continue the eight Special Revenue Officers sanctioned in G.O. No L 2019-2-51 dated 18th June 1951 and four Clerks, eight peons also for a further period of 2 months from 1st May 1952 as their present term expires on 30th April 1952 as per details in his note dated 16th April 1952.

Names of Appeal Officers

1. Sri N. Siddalingappa, A, LL B,
Personal Assistant to the Commissioner
2. Sri C. Annadanappa, BA, LL B,
Revenue Officer
3. Sri M. Gurusiddiah, BA (Hons), LL B,
Council Secretary
4. Sri S. Balasubramanyam, BA, LL B,
Assistant Revenue Officer

The following resolution was moved by the Chair:-

1. Resolved that the proposals of the Commissioner dated 16th April 1952 to appoint the following four Senior Officers of the Corporation namely -

- (1) Sri N. Siddalingappa, A, LL B,
Personal Assistant to the Commissioner
- (2) Sri C. Annadanappa, BA, LL B,
Revenue Officer
- (3) Sri M. Gurusiddiah, BA (Hons), LL B,
Council Secretary
- (4) Sri S. Balasubramanyam, BA, LL B,
Assistant Revenue Officer,

as Appeal Officers on a lump sum remuneration of Rs 250/- each with immediate effect till the end of 30th June 1952 to hear the appeals on Properties Tax under delegation of powers of the Commissioner to them, be approved and that the proposal to appoint a Senior Assistant Commissioner be dropped.

2. Resolved further that the eight Special Revenue Officers appointed as per G.O. No L 2019-2-51 dated 18th June 1951 and four Clerks, and 8 Peons be continued for a further period of 2 months from 1st May 1952 as their present term expires on 30th April 1952, to assist the Appeal Officers in their disposal

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of office to which the proposition re

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge								
		Present Scale						Average Cost	No	Desi nation
		No	Designation	Pay						
				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maxi- mum				
No	Date	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maxi- mum	Average Cost	No	Desi nation	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	Appeal Officers (Lumpsum Allowance)	
								8	Special Revenue Officers	
								8	C A to Special Revenue Office	
								2	Clerks	
								2	Clerks	
								8	Bill Collectors	
								8	C A to Bill Collectors	
								8	Peons	

NOTE —To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should be followed by a reappropriation

Vol. I, Appendices and Forms)

OR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

to the Revenue Section

WD08384—GPB—100 pads of 100 sheets each—J 12 49

Proposed Scale				Proposition						Orders of sanctioning Authority
Pay			Average Cost	Permanent		Temporary				
Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		
				Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount	
250	-	-	1,000							
150	-	-	1,200							
30	-	-	240							
60	-	-	120							
40	-	-	80							
40	-	-	320							
7/8	-	-	60							
18			144							

			2,164							
Total cost per month						Rs 2,164				
Total cost for two months				2,164 X 2	=	Rs. 4,328-0-0				
Lumpsum allowance to the Appeal Officers						Rs. 1,000-0-0				
Total						Rs. 5,328-0-0				

Commissioner Corporation of Bangalore.										

[Signature]
COMMISSIONER
Corporation of Bangalore.

Statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FOR

Name of office to which the proposition relate

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge								Proposed	
		Present Scale						Average Cost	No		Designation
		No	Designation	Pay							
No	Date			Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	No	Designation			
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	Appeal Officers (Lumpsum Allowance)		
								8	Special Revenue Officers		
								8	C A to Special Revenue Officer		
								2	Clerks		
								2	Clerks		
								8	Bill Collectors		
								8	C A to Bill Collectors		
								8	Peons		

NOTE — To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should be followed by a reappropriat

Vol I Appendices and Forms)

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

ite to the Revenue Section

WD08824—GPB -100 pads of 100 sheets each—5 12 49

Proposed Scale				Proportion								Orders of sanctioning Authority
				Permanent				Temporary				
				Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month	Decrease per month					
Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount			
250	-	-	1,000									
150	-	-	1,200									
30	-	-	240									
60	-	-	120									
40	-	-	80									
40	-	-	320									
7/8	-	-	60									
18			144									
			2,164									
Total cost per mensem				Rs 2,164								
Total cost for two months				2,164 X 2 = Rs 4,328-0-0								
Lumpsum allowance to the Appeal Officers				Rs. 1,000-0-0								
Total				Rs 5,328-0-0								


COMMISSIONER
 Corporation of Bangalore

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

~~(Continuation sheet draft form)~~

4 Copies

- 1) S. M. Sudda Guppa, P. A, L. B.
P. A. to the Commr.
- 2) " C. Hanumanappa, B. A, L. B.
Rev. Officer
- 3) " H. Garadindiah B. A (Hons)
A. K. B.
Comm. Secretary.
- 4) " S. Balasubramanyam,
P. A, L. B.
Assistant Rev. Officer

Proceedings of the Govt. of Mysore

Read

Correspondence ending with letter no B 12 P. R 143/51 dated 17 4 52 from the Commr. Corporation of the City of Mysore forwarding the Resolution dated 16.4.52 of the Corporation approving the rule of the Commissioner to delegate under Sec 16 of the Corporation Act the power of hearing appeals to the four members of the Board of Officers of the Corporation and requesting sanction for the payment of a temporary remuneration of Rs 250/- each for the additional work and also to the Commissioner of the 8 posts of 8 Special Revenue Officers for a further period of two months from 1 5 52 to assist these officers in the hearing

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ~~THE MOUNTAIN~~
~~THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE~~

Read :-

Correspondence ending with letter No.B.12.P.R.143/51 dated the 17th April 1952 from the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore, forwarding the resolution dated the 16th April 1952 of the Corporation approving the note of the Commissioner to delegate under Section 16 of the Corporation Act the power of hearing appeals

- | | |
|--|----------------------|
| 1. Sri H. Siddalingappa, M.A., LL.B.,
Personal Assistant to the Commissioner, | to the four marginal |
| 2. " S. Anandappa, B.A., LL.B.,
Revenue Officer, | noted Officers of |
| 3. " H. Gurunadiah, B.A. (Hons) LL.B.,
Council Secretary, | the Corporation and |
| 4. " S. Balasubramanyam, M.A., L.T.,
Asst. Revenue Officer | requesting sanction |
| | to the payment of a |
| | lumpsum remuneration |
- of Rs. 250/- each for this additional work and also to the continuance of the posts of 8 Special Revenue Officers for a further period of two months from 1st May 1952 to assist these officers in the hearing of appeals.

Order No.L. 6895-6 / C N 2 5 2 4

L.A.D., Bangalore, the 17th July 1952.

The recommendation of the Corporation to pay a lumpsum remuneration of Rs. 250/- to each of the above four officers and to continue the posts of 8 Special Revenue Officers sanctioned in Government Order L 2919/C.M.2-51-19 dated the 5th June 1952.1951, for a further period of two months from 1st May 1952 is sanctioned.

Hannurappa
(V. HANURAPPA) 16/7
for Secretary to Government,
L.J.G. Department.

To

The Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore,
(Through the Mayor)
The Controller, State Accounts Department, Bangalore,
" Auditor, Corporation of the City of Bangalore.

LNS.4.16/7.